

STEWARDSHIP MATURITY MATRIX FOR CLIMATE DATA (SMM-CD)

Developed under the High Quality Global Data Management Framework for Climate

World
Meteorological
Organization
Weather • Climate • Water

The SMM-CD Working Group

BACKGROUND

The World Meteorological Organization (WMO) is a specialized agency of the United Nations with 191 member states and territories. WMO provides the framework for international cooperation for the development of meteorology, climatology, and operational hydrology. WMO is committed to, and continues to, facilitate free and unrestricted exchange of meteorological and related data and information, products, and services.

The WMO Commission for Climatology (CCI) inter-programme initiative called *High Quality Global Data Management Framework for Climate (HQ-GDMFC)* aims at making use of high quality climate data needed for developing climate services for policy and decision making in a variety of applications. A key priority of HQ-GDMFC is to harmonise the definitions and processes and to develop a manual to guide collaborative entities on standards and best practices in the field of data management and stewardship.

The International Workshop on Information Management, which was convened by WMO CCI and CBS (Commission for Basic Systems), Geneva, Switzerland, 4–6 October 2017, included a recommendation for a project plan for climate datasets and access. A key conclusion was that a concept of trusted datasets needs to be defined by a process endorsed by WMO. Datasets must meet standards defined by a maturity index approach. Based on these findings, an Expert Team meeting on Climate Data Modernisation (ET-CDM) was held at the Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI) in De Bilt, Netherlands on 16–18 April 2018 to develop a climate data-specific version of the maturity model, to be used to assess the "trustworthiness" of climate datasets. The first rough draft was developed at the meeting and consequently improved by the Stewardship Maturity Model for Climate Data (SMM-CD) Working Group within the ET-CDM.

This poster aims to facilitate the ESIP-wide review of the SMM-CD to ensure and improve its quality.

The members of the SMM-CD Working Group (in alphabetical order)

AICH, Valentin; vaich@wmo.int

BADDOUR, Omar; obaddour@wmo.int LIEF, Christina; christina.lief@gmail.com PENG, Ge (Lead); ge.peng@noaa.gov

WRIGHT, William (Co-Lead); william.wright@bom.gov.au

The members of ET-CDM (in alphabetical order)

- 1. AICH, Valentin (WMO)
- 2. BADDOUR, Omar (WMO)
- 3. BERGERON, Cedric (ECMWF)
- 4. BEROD, Dominique (WMO)
- 5. BUSSELBERG, Thorsten (DWD)
- 6. CAZENAVE, Anny (LEGOS)
- 7. DUNN, Robert (Met Office/Hadley Center)
- 8. GALLAHER, David (NSIDC)9. GATES, Lydia (DWD)

- 10.LIEF, Christina (WMO)
- 11.MILAN, Anna (NOAA/NCEI)
- 12.PENG, Ge (NCSU/CICS-NC, NOAA/NCEI)
- 13.ROBERTS, Kate (BOM)
- 14.SIEGMUND, Peter (KNMI)
- 15.VERVER, Ge (KNMI)
- 16.WRIGHT, William (BOM)
- 17.ZIESE, Markus (DWD)

Categories, Aspects, and Maturity Scales

					Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
	SMM-CD Category					MINIMAL	INTERMEDIATE	ADVANCED	OPTIMAL
				Not	Limit-	Managed	Well-Managed		
		Usability &	Quality	Data	Managed	Managed		oren managea	
	Accessibility	Usage	Management	Management		Not Defined	Defined	Well-Defined	
spect	Discoverability	Portability	Quality Assurance & Control	Preservation			Partially Implemented	Fully Implemented	Level 4 +
Ask	Accessibility	Documentation	Quality Assessment	Metadata					Measured,
		Usage	Data Integrity	Governance					Controlled,
					J				Audited

Acknowledgement

This work was originated at the Expert Meeting on Climate Data Modernisation held by WMO at the Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI) in De Bilt, Netherlands on April 16–18, 2018. Travel support was provided by WMO and/or participant's home countries. Dominique Berod, Robert Dunn, David Gallaher, Lydia Gates, and Markus Ziese contributed to v00r01 20180418. Comments from Peter Thorne, Markus Ziese, Lydia Gates, Axel Andersson, and Kate Roberts were beneficial.

SMM-CD CATEGORIES AND EXPECTED BEHAVIOURS FOR EACH ASPECT

Accessibility Category

This category refers to the ability to locate (Discoverability) and get to the dataset in question (Accessibility), with higher levels of maturity corresponding to the ease for a potential user to find and gain access to the dataset.

Aspect	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Discoverability	By personal contact only; Dataset information not discoverable	Limited dataset information, such as scientific description of the methodology, in the literature	level	Complete set of collection-level discovery metadata + minimal granular metadata	Level 4 + available on an international catalogue, prominently displayed online and routinely updated
Accessibility	Data not available publicly; Person-to-person contact needed	Basic online services available for data access (e.g. FTP/HTTP direct download).		Standard-based interoperability data service	Level 4 + full capability of subsetting, aggregation and visualization

Quality Management Category

Quality management encompasses quality assurance procedures including quality monitoring, quality control, and quality assessment and communication of reliability.

Aspect	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Quality Assurance & Control	Data quality assurance (QA) & control (QC) procedure unknown or none	QA/QC procedure are defined, documented, and partially implemented.	QA/QC procedure are well-defined according to community best practices, documented and fully applied.	Level 3 + provision of error statistics published or tracked with results made available online and communicated to data providers; Procedure for user feedback, improvement prioritization in place	Level.4 + detailed analysis of errors and gaps at space- time unit level: (Station, grid- points, daily, monthly and or annual time-scale, etc.) QA/QC procedure monitored
Quality Assessment	Product quality assessment not done or done internally and information not available	Assessed by PI or data producer; Assessment results available online including error source estimates	Level 2 + collection-level uncertainty estimates and quality flags are available and methodology documented online.	Level 3 + cell-level uncertainty estimates and quality flags Product validation and evaluation published in peer- reviewed journal	Level 4 + The complete product provenance is captured and publicly available.
Data Integrity	Unknown or no data ingest integrity check	Data ingest integrity verifiable (e.g., checksum technology)	Level 2 + Data archive integrity verifiable	Level 3 + Data access integrity verifiable Conforming to community data integrity technology standard	Level 4 + Data authenticity verifiable (e.g., data signature technology) Performance of data integrity check monitored and reported

Version: v00r03 20180626

Usability and Usage Category

This category describes how easily the data product may be understood and used by users and incorporated into the user's own working environment.

Aspect	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Data Portability	Non-machine readable	Machine readable	Standards- based machine readable	Machine independent, self-describing, interoperable format	Level 4 + capability of providing user required format
Documentation	Product information not publicly available online	Limited online documentation (e.g., User Guide)	Document on how the data product was created and how to use it, is available online	Full documentation based on a standard template and available online	Level 4 + online tutorial on using and analysing the dataset Complete production system information available online
Usage	Weak citations in scientific publication in peer-review journal or as institutional reports	Intermediate citations + referenced in institutional climate assessment reports (e.g., by NOAA)	Strong citations + referenced in national climate assessment reports (e.g., by USGCRP)	Level 3 + referenced in international climate assessment reports (e.g., by IPCC)	in international decision/policy making published reports (e.g., by UNFCCC, UN-ISDR, World Bank, etc.)

Data Management Category

This category refers to the processes undertaken to ensure the data and the "contextual" metadata are securely archived. It covers not just the preservation of the data and metadata with appropriate safeguards, but well defined and enforced governance processes to ensure that the right procedures are followed at the right times by the right people.

Aspect	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4	Level 5
Preservation	Any storage location; Data only; Data not backed up	Non- designated repository; A backup copy of electronic data is made	Designated archive; Basic retention policy defined. Routine backups made, including offsite copy.	Level 3 + conforming to community archiving standards. (including compliant with national archival policy); Comprehensive retention policy defined and executed.	Level 4 + archiving process performance controlled, measure and audited Future archiving standard changes planned
Metadata	Metadata not publicly available and/or not usable	Limited Metadata publicly available; Conforming to community- standard; Basic characteristics of dataset	Level 2 + conforming to international standards in most aspects; limited quality and provenance metadata	Fully compliant with international standards; Rich metadata content; Basic granular-level metadata; Support dataset provenance.	Level 4 + complete granular-level metadata; Metadata QC-ed and Regularly updated
Governance	Responsibility is not defined; No person is assigned.	Responsible entity is identified; Accountability and competency are not well-defined.	Responsibility, and compliance mechanisms are defined; Good competency; Processes established conforming to community standards	Level 3 + competency defined; Confirming to international standards; auditable	Level 4 + accountability and responsibility well-defined and fully compliant with international standards; transparent; Monitored and audited